BISTS Examples



Example name: Rapid City Community Centers, SD, USA

Template completed by: Constantinos Vassiliades, vassiliades.constantinos@ucy.ac.cy

For installations

BISTS Location: Rapid City, South Dakota, USA,

44.076°N 103.228°W Climate Type: BSk

Building Use: Public Building

Level of BISTS integration

3. Adding to the architectural image

√ New BuildO Refurbishment

O Other:

tick all that apply





Type of BISTS:

Active/Passive/Hybrid delete as appropriate

Function(s):

- √ Air heating
- O Water heating
- O Combi-system
- O Cooling/ventilation/shading
- O PV/T
- O linked to another system


(e.g., heat pump)

O Other:

tick all that apply

Building element:

√ FacadeO RoofO Other:tick all that apply



BISTS characteristics:

The architects put a SolarWall heating and ventilation system for the two new community centers in Rapid City. The dark bronze metallic coating was used as an architectural feature of the two buildings. The architects wanted to maintain a consistent look for the two buildings, so the same coating was used on all the walls, but only the two southern walls were used as solar air heaters.

Solar panels are fully integrated into the building, and placed above the canopies.



Stage of Development: Responsible: Company.		
O Idea/Patent O Prototype O Demonstration O Integral building element √ Commercially available tick all that apply	SolarWall	
BISTS description and context		
air and then leads it inside the buildin Approximately 900 m² of panels have	is mounted on the outer walls of the building, and heats the ing. The been installed in total in both buildings of the community collectors on both buildings is 115 m² and 90 m².	
System viability		
For exampleEconomic viability (capital and running costs), maintenance, embodied energy, environmental impact and sustainability, wider social contexts		
Modelling and simulation tools developed/used		
	created for established simulation programs, stand-alone, model outcomes, validation and accuracy. Design tools	



BISTS Performance data	Graphs for collector efficiency, seasonal energy	
	gains, diurnal/seasonal solar fraction, etc.	
Based on:		
O Estimation		
O Detailed simulation		
CANMET's monitoring report.		
O Measurement/testing		
O Long-term monitoring		
tick all that apply		
Performance parameters		
For integrated systems:		
key performance indicators -		
, 1		
Solar savings fraction: %		
Light transmittance: %		
Solar transmittance:%		
Total solar energy transmittance: %:		
Solar heat gain factor: %		
Building fabric U-values: W/m²K		
Noise, fire, etc ratings		
Other:		
Far as nameta as llostons.		
For separate collectors:		
performance rating coefficients -		
(EN12975, a0,a1,a2), ASHRAE, etc		
Other:		
Other.		
Additional information:		
Sources and references:		
Ources and references.		
http://solarwall.com/media/download_gallery/SolarWall_SellSheet.pdf		
http://solarwall.com/media/download_gallery/cases/RapidCityCommunityCenters_Y02_SolarWa		
IlCaseStudy.pdf		
http://solarwall.com/media/images-articles/Vol1No0-07-MadeInCanada-SolarWall.pdf		
-		



INSTRUCTIONS

Please fill in as much information as possible.

Tick where appropriate.


Text in red is suggested guidance. Insertinformation in provided space, removing red text as appropriate

If possible, use metric values.

If necessary, supply additional information on separate sheets

Reference listing

Köppen climate classification



(Kottek, M.,J. Grieser, C. Beck,B. Rudolf, and F. Rubel,2006: World Map of Köppen-Geiger Climate Classificationupdated. Meteorol. Z., 15, 259-263.)

Reijenga classification

The integration of PV systems in architecture can be divided into five categories:

- 1. Applied invisibly
- 2. Added to the design
- 3. Adding to the architectural image
- 4. Determining architectural image
- 5. Leading to new architectural concepts.

(Reijenga, TH and Kaan, HF. (2011) PV in Architecture, in Handbook of Photovoltaic Science and Engineering, Second Edition (eds A. Luque and S. Hegedus), John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK)

BISTS Examples



Rush classification

The architectural/visual expression of building services systems are identified as:

Level 1. Not visible, no change

Level 2. Visible, no change

Level 3. Visible, surface change

Level 4. Visible, with size or shape change

Level 5. Visible, with location or orientation change

(Rush, RD. (1986) The Building systems integration handbook Wiley, New York, USA)

Collector test standards

BS EN 12975-2 2006 'Thermal solar systems and components solar collectors - Part 2 test methods'

ASHRAE Standard 93-2010 'Methods of Testing to Determine the Thermal Performance of Solar Collectors'

ASHRAE Standard 95-1987 'Methods of Testing to Determine the Thermal Performance of Solar Domestic Water Heating Systems'